# **Structure and Activity of Composite Oxide-Supported Iridium and Platinum Catalysts**

# S. SUBRAMANIAN<sup>\*</sup> AND J. A. SCHWARZ<sup>†</sup>

*Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13244* 

Received December 1, 1989; revised August 20, 1990

The objective of this study is to investigate the structure and activity of composite oxide  $(AI_2O_3-TIO_2)$  and TiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)-supported Pt and Ir catalysts. Two characterization techniques, temperature-programmed reduction/temperature-programmed desorption (TPRd/TPD) and ethane hydrogenolysis were used. TPRd/TPD provided information on the structure of the catalyst whereas ethane hydrogenolysis provided information on the structure and activity. The TPRd, TPD, and ethane hydrogenolysis results suggest that two processes,  $Al^{3+}$  dissolution in acidic impregnation solutions and TiO<sub>y</sub> overlayer formation occurring in reducing environments affect the structure and activity of these catalysts. Specifically, the TPRd results indicate that the readsorbed  $Al<sup>3+</sup>$  species inhibits the amount of chloride liberated during calcination; this results in an increase in the amount of hydrogen consumed during reduction. The TPD results suggest that TiO<sub>r</sub> overlayer formation occurs in the case of composite oxides. The readsorbed  $Al<sup>3+</sup>$  increases the hydrogenolysis activity of these catalysts. The intimate association of the noble metal and  $Al<sup>3+</sup>$  suggests that alloys of the form  $Pt<sub>3</sub>Al$  and IrAl may exist on the surface of the Pt and Ir catalysts. These factors have been considered and models for the structures of these catalysts have been proposed.  $\circ$  1991 Academic Press, Inc.

#### INTRODUCTION

In order to achieve greater understanding about how good supported metal catalysts can be prepared, more information on the structure and structure-activity relationships of catalysts is needed. It is difficult to optimize the performance of a catalyst without any information on its structure. Oxides commonly used to prepare supported metal catalysts may be classified into two categories: simple oxides and composite oxides. Composite oxides provide a way of dispersing an intrinsically low surface area carrier on a high surface area support. The number, strength, and type of acid sites can be controlled by varying their composition *(1-5),* and the catalytic properties of

\* Present address: Ford Motor Co., S-3068, 2162 SRL, P.O. Box 2053, Dearborn, MI 48121.

t To whom correspondence should be addressed.

supported metals can be influenced by the presence of the binary oxide.

The structure, activity, and the structure-activity relationship of alumina/titania composite oxide-supported Pt and Ir catalysts are the focus of this study. Simple oxide-supported catalysts have also been studied under identical conditions to provide a basis for comparing the behavior of the composite oxide-supported catalysts. The secondary phase (minor component of the composite oxide) weight loading in the composites oxides used was relatively low, i.e.,  $0.87\%$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub> and 11.4%  $TiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ . The metal weight loading for the precursors was 3%. Under these conditions, based on adsorption equilibrium considerations, the maximum amount of metal that can be dispersed on the secondary phase is extremely small compared to the amount of metal that can be dispersed on the primary phase (major component of the

composite oxide on a weight basis). Therefore, the metal is essentially distributed on the primary phase and the secondary phase acts as a perturbation in the system.

The alumina/titania system possesses some properties of interest, alumina dissolution (6-9) and TiO<sub>x</sub> overlayer formation *(10-13).* These two processes occur at different stages of catalyst preparation. While  $Al<sup>3+</sup>$  dissolution and readsorption occurs during impregnation,  $TiO<sub>r</sub>$  overlayer formation occurs in a reducing environment. The effect of these processes on the structure and activity of these catalysts has been studied using two procedures: temperatureprogrammed reduction/temperature-programmed desorption (TPRd/TPD) and ethane hydrogenolysis. In a typical catalyst preparation sequence, reduction is the last step that leads to the formation of the finished catalyst. In order to follow the changes occurring in these systems during reduction, temperature-programmed reduction, a dynamic characterization technique, was used. Since investigation of the structure, activity, and the structure-activity relationship is of importance here, ethane hydrogenolysis, a structure-sensitive reaction, was chosen as a test reaction. (The rate depends on the dispersion of the catalyst when the reaction under consideration is structure sensitive). While TPRd/TPD provides information on the structure of the precursor/ catalyst, the ethane hydrogenolysis test reaction provides information on both the structure and the activity of the catalyst. Suppressed hydrogenolysis activity appears to be a general feature of strong metal-support interactions (SMSI) catalysts, where TiO<sub>x</sub> overlayer formation can occur (14, 15). The hydrogenolysis activities of Pt and Ir on TiO<sub>2</sub> are approximately an order of magnitude lower than those of Pt and Ir supported on  $AI_2O_3$ .

The TPRd results indicate that readsorbed  $Al^{3+}$  inhibits the amount of chloride (resulting from the use of chloroplatinic and chloroiridic acids to prepare the precursors)

liberated during calcination. The TPD results suggest that  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  overlayer formation occurs in the case of composite oxides. Readsorbed  $Al^{3+}$  increases the activity of Pt and lr catalysts in the hydrogenolysis reaction; the effects are more pronounced in the case of Ir. The ethane hydrogenolysis and TPRd results suggest that alloys of the form Pt<sub>3</sub>Al or IrAl may exist on the surface of Pt and Ir catalysts. These observations have been collectively used to propose models for the structure of the calcined precursors and finished catalysts.

# EXPERIMENTAL

# *Preparation of Precursors*

Alumina (American Cyanamid, BET area 195 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pore volume  $0.5 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ , titania (Degussa Corp., BET area 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g, nonporous),  $11.4\%$  TiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and 0.87%  $Al_2O_3$ -TiO, were used as supports. The procedure for preparing the composite oxides was identical to that used by Subramanian *et al. (16).* The thermal treatment and the particle size of all the oxides used were maintained uniform: 823 K calcination and a 40- to 80-mesh (0.225 mm) particle size.

The reagents, chloroplatinic acid and chloroiridic acid, were obtained from Johnson Matthey. Required amounts of these solids were dissolved in air-saturated double distilled water ( $pH = 5.6$ ). One gram of oxide was contacted with  $0.5 \text{ cm}^3$  of these impregnation solutions to attain a 3% metal weight loading. The precursors were dried overnight at room temperature under darkness. The precursors were further dried in air at 423 K for a period of 1 h. The precursors were calcined in air at 673 K for 4 h. These are called "Set I" precursors.

Another class of Ir/TiO<sub>2</sub> precursors was prepared in which  $Al^{3+}$  ions were introduced into the metal system in the form of aluminum nitrate,  $AI(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> · 9H<sub>2</sub>O (J. T.$ Baker and Co., Analytical Grade Reagent). Here, the desired quantity of aluminum nitrate was dissolved in the Ir impregnation solution, and the resulting solution was used

to impregnate  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . The resulting entities were dried and calcined. These are referred to as "Set II" precursors.

# *Temperature-Programmed Reduction and Temperature-Programmed Desorption*

The TPRd/TPD apparatus consists of three sections: (i) The gas delivery and purification system, (ii) reactor assembly, and (iii) a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) to measure the difference in the concentration of the gas entering and leaving the reactor. Further details can be found elsewhere *(17).* 

The procedures, some of which have been described before *(17),* consist of

(1) Dehydration.

(2) Room temperature reduction.

(3) First temperature-programmed reduction.

(4) First temperature-programmed desorption.

(5) Second TPRd.

This step was necessary in order to perform the second TPD step. Steps 5 and 6 are especially useful in the case of TiO<sub>2</sub>supported precursors. Progressive introduction of SMSI behavior (suppressed H<sub>2</sub> chemisorption) can be verified using steps 5 and 6.

(6) Second TPD: Step 4 was repeated.

## *Ethane Hydrogenolysis*

The reaction unit is schematically represented in Fig. 1. The setup consists of three sections: (i) gas delivery system with facilities for mixing gases, (ii) reactor assembly, and (iii) gas chromatograph (GC) to assess the concentrations of the reactants and products.

*(1) Gas delivery system.* Ultra high purity (UHP) grade Ar, He, and 8.5%  $H_2/Ar$ , CP Grade  $C_2H_6$ , and Research Grade CH<sub>4</sub> were obtained from the Linde Division of Union Carbide Corporation. The gases were passed through Drierite to remove traces of water vapor that may be present. The  $H<sub>2</sub>/Ar$  mixture was purified further by passing it through an oxy-sorb deoxidizer. The gases were mixed by passing them through cylindrical vessels (l-in O.D and 3-in height). These vessels were provided with three perforated plates in order to generate turbulence. The inlet nozzles were also designed to provide a swirling motion. The gas flow rates were controlled with Tylan controllers (Model FC-260), and were used to control the partial pressure of the reactants at desired levels.

*(2) Reactor assembly.* A differential reactor was used in this study. The reactor assembly and heating arrangements used for the reaction unit were identical to those used for TPRd/TPD experiments *(17).* 

*(3) Gas chromatograph.* An Antek 3000 gas chromatograph was used in conjunction with a Carbosieve II (Supelco Inc.) packed column to determine the concentrations of the products and reactants. The temperature of the column and detector filaments were controlled at 488 and 323 K, respectively. A 200-mA bridge current was used. The products and reactants were periodically sampled using an on-line sampling loop  $(2 \text{ cm}^3)$  in conjunction with a six-way valve. The voltage signal from the GC was monitored on an Esterline Angus (Model SS-260F) chart recorder.

The reaction conditions and procedures used are outlined below:

*(1) Dehydration.* A sample of 100 mg was flushed with Ar at a flow rate of  $100 \text{ cm}^3$ / min. The temperature of the sample was raised to 773 K at 5 K/min. The sample was held at 773 K for a period of 1 h and then cooled to room temperature in flowing At.

*(2) Reduction.* The Ar flow was cut off and He was allowed to flow at 300 cm<sup>3</sup>/min. The hydrogen flow rate was adjusted to 80 cm3/min. Since the thermal conductivity of Ar is similar to that of ethane and methane, Ar cannot be used as a carrier gas. The flow rates used during reduction were similar to those used while conducting the reaction. The temperature of the reactor was raised to 773 K at a heating rate of 20 K/min. At



FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the ethane hydrogenolysis unit.

the end of the reduction process, hydrogen was cut off and the sample was cooled in He to 523 K.

*(3) Reaction conditions.* The following gas flow rates were used to conduct the reaction: 300 cm<sup>3</sup>/min of He, 80 cm<sup>3</sup>/min of H<sub>2</sub>, and 20 cm<sup>3</sup>/min of  $C_2H_6$ . The total flow rate was maintained constant at 400 cm<sup>3</sup>/min throughout the study. Thus, the partial pressures of the reactants were kept constant. Since the observed reaction rates could be distorted because of carbon deposition, it is desirable to use as high a  $P_{\text{Hydrogen}}/P_{\text{Ethane}}$ ratio as possible. The partial pressures used in this study are similar to those used by Sinfelt *(26).* Since the activity of each of the catalysts studied was vastly different, the reaction temperature required to maintain differential conditions was found by trial and error. This was achieved by systematically raising the temperature of the reactor from 523 K in steps of 10 K; the reaction was carried out at conversion levels less than 5%. The standard deviation in the activation energies measured was 0.74 kcal/ mole.

*(4) Sampling.* In a typical experiment, the reactant gases were passed over the catalyst for 3 min prior to sampling. The ethane flow was then cut out and hydrogen and helium flow continued for 15 min prior to another reaction period. This procedure was used to avoid complications due to changing catalyst activity, and it was repeated at each temperature until steady state was achieved. The temperature was varied in a cyclical manner to test for hysteresis effects. Finally, the gas mixture containing ethane, hydrogen, and helium was diverted to bypass the reactor to determine the composition of the feed mixture.

*(5) Calibration.* The only product obtained in this reaction is methane. Known amounts of methane and ethane were injected with a gas-tight syringe (Alltech, 25A-RN-GSG Syringe). A linear relationship was observed at low concentration levels. The calibration was periodically verified and was found to be reproducible within 3.5%. Since the thermal conductivity of He and  $H<sub>2</sub>$  are similar, the sensitivity of the detector toward  $H<sub>2</sub>$  is low. Hence, the GC was not calibrated with respect to hydrogen. The mass balance closure was verified by monitoring the ethane and methane concentrations. Engstrom *et al. (18)* have reported the presence of a carbonaceous residue on single-crystal Ir surfaces after ethane hydrogenolysis. In order to test this possibility a catalyst sample that had been subjected to the test reaction was slowly heated (at 3 K/ min) in a mixture of helium and hydrogen. The exit gas was periodically sampled and analyzed for methane. No methane was detected. These results suggest that if any carbonaceous residue exists it cannot be removed by heating the used catalyst in hydrogen. Adsorbed carbon, however, may be removed by oxygen *(19).* 

#### RESULTS

### *Temperature-Programmed Reduction*

The TRPd results for the monometal calcined precursors are presented in Table 1. It is seen that the hydrogen consumption observed in the case of Pt precursors is generally lower than those observed in the case of Ir precursors. Quantitative interpretation of hydrogen consumption values are complicated by two factors:

(i) The hydrogen consumption resulting from support reduction is not known *(12, 20).* 

(ii) The precise stoichiometry of the precursor after calcination is not known. A study  $(20)$  on the Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system indicates the existence of chloride containing surface species of the form  $[Pt(OH)_xCl_y]$  and [PtOxCly]. Studies conducted on the *Ir/*  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system  $(21, 22)$  indicate that the peak in the TPRd spectrum at  $\approx$ 488 K results from the reduction of crystalline  $IrO<sub>2</sub>$ . Accordingly the *H/M* value for the reduction process should be 4.0. The observed *H/M*  ratio is about 2.0. This indicates that only a fraction of the metal complex has been converted to  $IrO<sub>2</sub>$ ; the other fraction has been converted to a lower oxidation state. Previously investigators have employed other experimental techniques to confirm this fact. Xue *(22)* has speculated that the metal species (after calcination) exists in the form of an irreducible core surrounded by lrO 2. Yao *et al. (23)* have proposed a similar hypothesis for the  $Pt/Al_2O_3$  system. Hence, the hydrogen consumption cannot be readily related to the oxidation state of the precursor.

The TPRd spectrum for the  $Ir/AI_2O_3$  precursor (Fig. 2) shows the reduction rate maximum at 474 K. This is in agreement with the observations reported by Huang *et al. (21)* and Xue *(22).* The observed hydrogen consumption may be related to an "effective" oxidation state according to the following stoichiometry:

$$
M^{x+} + H_2 \to M + (x/2)2H^+ \qquad (2)
$$

where M is either Pt or Ir, and x is an "effective" or "average" oxidation state which is also the *H/M* ratio. These quantities are reported in Table I.

The hydrogen consumption observed for the  $Al_2O_3$ -supported precursors are consistently higher than those observed for the TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported precursors. Auxiliary X-ray fluorescence experiments showed that the amount of chloride retained by TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported precursors after calcination is lower than that retained by the  $AI_2O_3$ -supported precursors. This suggests that the amount of hydrogen consumed during reduction may be related to the amount of chlorine present in the precursor after calcination. It is interesting to note that the hydrogen consumption observed for the composite oxide-supported precursors are similar to that observed for the  $Al_2O_3$ -supported pre-

<b>Quantity</b>	Support							
	Pt precursors				Ir precursors			
	$Al_2O_3$	TiO,	$0.87\%$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -TiO <sub>2</sub>	$11\%$ TiO <sub>2</sub> -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$Al_2O_3$	TiO <sub>2</sub>	$0.87\%$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -TiO <sub>2</sub>	11% $TiO2 - Al2O3$
Peak $T(K)$	380,436	353,373	342,379	393,460	474	460	440,477	483
Total Hz consumed $(\mu \text{mole/g. prec.})$	132.5	49.3	103.1	110.8	224.6	39.3	206.6	209.4
TPRd Hz consumption $(\mu \text{mole/g. prec.})$	126.4	41.8	99.8	83.8	221.7	36.0	203.8	204.8
Room temp. Hz consumption $(\mu \text{mole/g. prec.})$	6.1	7.50	3.3	27.0	2.9	3.3	2.8	4.6
H/M	1.72	0.64	1.34	1.44	2.89	0.51	2.66	2.70

TABLE I Temperature-Programmed Reduction Results for Pt and Ir Precursors

cursors. This observation suggests that the dissolution and readsorption of  $Al^{3+}$  originating from  $Al_2O_3$  present in the composite oxide plays a role in altering the reducibility of the precursors. This phenomenon has been explored and the results are presented later.

### *Temperature-Programmed Desorption*

The TPD results, which include the hydrogen emission values and peak temperatures, are presented in Table 2. The first and second TPD spectra for  $Al_2O_3$ -supported precursors are identical. The TPD results for the TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported precursors indicate that hydrogen chemisorption does not occur



FIG. 2. Temperature-programmed reduction profile for the  $Ir/AI_2O_3$  precursor.

when the reduced precursor is cooled in hydrogen presumably because of SMSI *(10, 11).* Likewise, complete suppression in hydrogen chemisorption is also observed in the case of the 11.4%  $TiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ - and 0.87% *A1203-TiO2-supported* Pt and Ir precursors. Since no hydrogen is chemisorbed during the cooling process, no hydrogen is desorbed during the TPD experiment. The TPD results observed in the present study are in agreement with static chemisorption results reported by McVicker and Ziemiak (1). These investigators have shown that the extent of suppression in hydrogen uptake depends upon the relative Group VIII-metal and TiO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on the  $Al_2O_3$  carrier; the higher the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/Group$  VIII-metal ratio, the greater the chemisorption suppression.

# *Ethane Hydrogenolysis*

Arrhenius plots for the catalysts are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. The activation energy and the preexponential factors were calculated from the slope and intercept of the least-squares fit. The temperature required for achieving a reaction rate of 0.1 g mol of  $C_2H_6$  consumed/(h · g of total metal) has been evaluated. These values are presented in Table 3. Considering the wide vari-

Quantity	------ Support							
	Pt Precursors				Pt Precursors			
	AI <sub>2</sub>	TiO,	$0.87\%$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -TiO <sub>2</sub>	$11\%$ TiO <sub>2</sub> -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$AI_2O_3$	TiO,	$0.87\%$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> -TiO <sub>2</sub>	$11\%$ TiO <sub>2</sub> -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
1st TPD H <sub>2</sub> evolved $(\mu \text{mole/g. prec})$	40.3	$\bf{0}$	$\theta$	0	83.4	$\theta$	$\bf{0}$	$\theta$
$2nd$ TPD H <sub>2</sub> Evolved $(\mu \text{mole/g. prec})$	40.3	$\theta$	$\bf{0}$	$\theta$	83.4	$\bf{0}$	$\theta$	$\bf{0}$
Peak T(K)"	340				363,473			

TABLE 2

**Temperature-Programmed Desorption Results for Pt and [r Precursors** 

" **For both first and second** TPDs.

**ations in materials and preparation variables such as type of procedure, impregnation volume, and activation conditions, the reaction rates reported in the present study are in qualitative agreement with those reported in the literature** *(1, 24-26).* 

**The effect of variation in dispersion on the ethane hydrogenolysis activity of Rh/**  TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts has been studied by Resasco **and Hailer** *(27).* **These investigators have shown that the activation energy is almost independent of dispersion; the preexponential, and thus the rate, varies with dispersion. Barbier and Marecot** *(28)* **have systematically studied the variation in ethane hydrogenolysis activity with the dispersion**  of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported Pt and Ir catalysts. The **activity of these catalysts decreases with**  **an increase in dispersion. The study was conducted under isothermal conditions (448 K) and no information on the variation of the activation energy of these catalysts is available. Since Rh belongs to the same family of noble metals, the kinetic behavior of Pt and Ir are assumed to be similar. Therefore, if the apparent activation energy is independent of dispersion, it may be regarded as a function of the metal/support combination. Conversely, if the activation energy is known, this test reaction can be used to distinguish between different metal/support combinations.** 

**The activation energies and preexponential factors for the composite oxide-supported catalysts are different from those reported for the pure oxide-supported** 



FIG. 3. **Arrhenius plots for Pt catalysts. The solid** FIG, 4. **Arrhenius plots for Ir catalysts. The solid line line represents the least-squares fit. represents the least-squares fit.** 



Catalyst	Type	$E$ (kcal/mol)	ln A	Temp. <sup><math>\alpha</math></sup> (K)
$Pt/Al_2O_3$		63.8	49.7	613.4
Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub>		32.7	19.9	736.4
Pt/11% TiO <sub>2</sub> $-Al_2O_3$		74.0	55.2	643.5
Pt/0.87% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -TiO <sub>2</sub>		27.1	16.6	715.4
Ir/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>		56.3	50.4	534.1
Ir/TiO <sub>2</sub>		90.7	76.7	574.0
Ir/11% TiO <sub>2</sub> -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>		38.2	31.8	560.1
Ir/0.87% $Al_2O_3$ -TiO <sub>2</sub>		93.8	84.7	539.1
Catalyst A	Н	58.9	55.3	511.4
Catalyst B	П	62.8	58.0	520.7
Catalyst C	П	89.5	81.7	532.6

TABLE 3

Activation Energy and Preexponential Factors for 1 and II Type Catalysts

" Temperature required for rate = 0.1 g mol of ethane consumed/hr/g of metal.

catalysts. The activity of the 0.87%  $Al_2O_3$ -TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported Ir catalyst is considerably greater than that of the  $Ir/TiO$ , catalyst. The activity of the  $0.87\%$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>supported Pt catalyst is also greater than that of the Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. The secondary phase in the composite oxide acts as a perturbation and alters the kinetics. It follows from our earlier discussion that the presence of  $Al_2O_3$  leads to readsorption of  $Al^{3+}$  during impregnation and the presence of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  can lead to  $TiO<sub>r</sub>$  overlayer formation during reduction. Since the potential effects of readsorbed  $Al^{3+}$  species (increased hydrogen consumption during TPRd and increased hydrogenolysis activity) are more apparent in the Ir system, these catalysts were chosen for further study.

# DISCUSSION

The TPRd and hydrogenolysis results suggest that dissolved/readsorbed  $Al^{3+}$  species alter the structure and performance of composite oxide-supported catalysts. Related studies have shown that  $Ti^{2+}$  ions are not released into solution because of the strong covalent nature of the Ti-O bond *(36,*   $37$ ). In order to investigate the effect of  $Al<sup>3+</sup>$ species, a series of TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported Ir precursors and catalysts were prepared in which  $Al^{3+}$  in the form of aluminium nitrate

was introduced into the chloroiridic acid impregnation solution (Set II). This approach was used to simulate the presence of  $Al^{3+}$ species in a system devoid of  $Al_2O_3$ . Aluminium nitrate, at the concentration levels used, is completely soluble in the impregnation solution, and it dissociates to  $Al<sup>3+</sup>$  and NO<sub>3</sub>. All the added  $Al^{3+}$  is present in the precursor because it was prepared by dry impregnation. In the case of the 0.87%  $Al_2O_3$ -TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported Ir precursor, we propose  $A<sub>1</sub>$ , $O<sub>3</sub>$  dissolves in the acidic impregnation solution, and a fraction of the  $Al<sup>3+</sup>$  ions released into the solution phase is readsorbed. To test this hypothesis, we prepared samples (Set I and Set II types of precursors), where the only difference is in the source of the  $Al^{3+}$  ions.

The AI weight loading in the 0.87%  $Al_2O_3$ -TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported Ir precursor is 0.46%. This corresponds to the maximum amount of aluminum that can be dissolved in the composite oxide system. In reality, the amount of readsorbed aluminum will be less than the total amount of aluminum present as  $Al_2O_3$  in the composite oxide. The amount of  $Al^{3+}$  readsorbed is not known precisely. Three types of Set I1 precursors were prepared. The amount of aluminum nitrate added to the impregnating solution was varied to achieve AI loadings of 0.46%,



FIG. 5. Temperature-programmed reduction profile for the I and 11 type precursors.

0.23%, and 0.11%. The precursors were calcined according to the standard procedure. These are referred to as precursors A, B, and C, respectively. Precursor A represents the limiting case corresponding to total dissolution of available AI in the composite oxide  $(0.87\%$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>) system.

The TPRd profiles for precursors A and C are shown along with those obtained for TiO<sub>2</sub> and  $0.87\%$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>-calcined Ir precursors in Fig. 5. The TPRd results are presented in Table 4. It is seen that precursors A and C show a substantial increase in the hydrogen consumption over that observed for the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ -supported precursor. (The

TPRd results for precursor B are similar to those obtained for precursors A and C.) The hydrogen consumption observed for precursors A and C are similar to those observed for the  $0.87\%$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported precursor; the reduction profiles (peak temperature) are also qualitatively similar. These experiments support the hypothesis that the readsorbed  $Al^{3+}$  alters the decomposition of the precursor during calcination and this results in a species that shows a higher hydrogen consumption value.

The hydrogenolysis activity of catalysts A, B, and C was measured. The Arrhenius plots for these data sets as well as Ir supported on  $AI_2O_3$ , TiO<sub>2</sub>, and 0.87%  $A<sub>1</sub>Q<sub>3</sub>$ -TiO<sub>2</sub> are shown in Fig. 6. The activation energy and the preexponential factor are presented in Table 3. The activity of catalysts A, B, and C is higher than the activity of the Ir/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. The readsorbed  $Al^{3+}$  acts like a promoter and increases the activity significantly. Comparing the Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> and Pt/0.87%  $Al_2O_3$ -TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, the increase in hydrogen consumption during TPRd as shown in Table 1 and the increase in hydrogenolysis activity as shown in Table 3 indicate that similar trends are observed for Pt catalysts as well.

Figure 7 shows the variation in apparent

Temperature-Programmed Reduction Results for Type II Precursors						
Precursor: Type:	Prec. A и	Prec. C H	Ir/TiO <sub>2</sub>	$Ir/0.87\%$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -TiO <sub>2</sub>		
Peak $T(K)$	435,493	344,440,485	460	440,477		
Total H <sub>2</sub> consumed $(\mu \text{mole/g})$ . prec.)	222.9	211.0	39.3	206.6		
TPRd H <sub>2</sub> consumption $(\mu \text{mole/g})$ . prec.)	222.9	211.0	36.0	203.8		
Room temp. $H_2$ consumption $(\mu \text{mole/g})$ . prec.)	0.0	0.0	3.3	2.8		

TABLE 4



FIG. 6. Arrhenius plots for type 11 catalysts. The solid line represents the least-squares fit.

activation energy for catalysts A, B, and C. It is interesting to note that there is a direct relationship between the catalytic activity and the amount of  $Al^{3+}$  added, and the activity of catalyst  $C$  is similar to that of the  $Ir/$ 0.87% TiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. The activation energy increases with a decrease in the amount of added aluminum. The variation in activation energy could conceivably result from the formation of an Ir-Al entity. The systematic variation in the activities of catalysts A, B, and C suggests that adsorbed  $Al^{3+}$  is intimately associated with the noble metal.

Formation of intermetailic compounds or alloys between AI and noble metals like Pt and Ir has been reported in the literature (29, *30).* Den Otter and Dautzenberg *(29)*  have reported the formation of  $Pt<sub>3</sub>Al$  type of alloys in  $Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalysts when the latter are reduced at around 873 K. Thermodynamic calculations, using bulk properties, indicate Pt-AI alloys to be stable in air at room temperature *(32).* Bronger and Klemm *(33)* have reported that alloys of the form  $Pt_nAl_m$  can be prepared by the reduction of a physical mixture of Pt and  $Al_2O_3$  in a stream of ultra-dry H<sub>2</sub>. De Bruin *et al.* (34) have studied the surface reaction between a Pt film and  $AI_2O_3$  under vacuum at about 1273 K. Sprys and Mencik *(35)* have observed a similar reaction in an electron microscope. Platinum deposited on a thin  $A<sub>1</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>$  film was seen to consume  $A<sub>1</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>$  when the Pt film was heated by an intense electron beam. Electron diffraction was used to identify the reaction product as  $Pt<sub>3</sub>Al.$ 

A recent study conducted by Axler and Roof *(30)* indicates that an alloy of the form IrAi can be prepared. These investigators prepared IrAI crystals by pressing metallic Ir into a pellet along with  $Al_2O_3$ , Th, Cu, and P. The pellet was allowed to equilibrate at 1423 K for 24 h and then cooled to 933 K at 1 K/h. The IrAI crystals are cubic in nature, and these investigators have shown that IrSi alloys can also be formed. Alloy formation between Al and Ru  $(A<sub>1</sub>, Ru)$  has also been reported  $(31)$ ; these investigators have shown that vapor-deposited AI penetrates the Ru (0001) surface to form the intermetallic compound at 1170 K. Alloys of the



FIG. 7. Variation of activation energy,  $E$ , in type II catalysts.

form  $Pt<sub>r</sub>Ti<sub>v</sub>$  and  $Ir<sub>r</sub>Ti<sub>v</sub>$  are unlikely to be formed because  $Ti^{2+}$  ions unlike  $Al^{3+}$  ions do not dissolve and readsorb on the surface of the catalyst.

These studies on alloy formation were all conducted on bulk systems and a high temperature was required for alloy formation. The temperature required for alloy formation may be considerably lower in highly dispersed catalysts *(29).* We suggest that IrAl and Pt<sub>2</sub>Al type of alloys may exist on the surface when the precursor is reduced to form the working catalyst. The TPRd and ethane hydrogenolysis results reported in this study support the hypothesis that aluminum metal becomes incorporated into the metal matrix and alters the catalytic activity.

The TPRd, TPD, and ethane hydrogenolysis results were used to model the structure of the precursors after calcination and reduction. Ethane hydrogenolysis, a structure-sensitive reaction, provided information on the activity and structure of the catalysts. The TPRd and TPD results were used to assess the reducibility and morphology of the catalysts before and after reduction. The two processes of interest are  $Al^{3+}$  dissolution and readsorption and TiO, overlayer growth. The  $AI_2O_3$  present in the oxide support dissolves in the acidic impregnation solution, and a fraction of dissolved  $Al<sup>3+</sup>$  is readsorbed by the precursor. The  $Al^{3+}$  dissolution/readsorption process occurs in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 11.4\% TiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 0.87\%  $Al_2O_3$ -TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported precursors. The extent of decomposition suffered by the precursor during calcination is altered because of the presence of readsorbed  $Al^{3+}$ . When the calcined precursors are reduced, three processes occur. The metal present in the higher oxidation state after calcination is reduced to the zero-valent state. Aluminum metal probably gets incorporated into the metal matrix leading to a noble metal-aluminum type of alloy. The incorporation of the readsorbed  $Al^{3+}$  increases the activity of these catalysts. The partially reduced  $TiO<sub>r</sub>$ species, which originates from the TiO<sub>2</sub> present in the support, is mobile in a reducing environment, and the  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  species encapsulates the metal. The TiO<sub>2</sub> overlayer formation occurs in the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , 11.4%  $TiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and  $0.87\%$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported precursors. The amount of hydrogen chemisorbed on the catalyst is suppressed

by the physical blockage of the metal surface.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Grant DE-FG02-87ER-13650. The authors thank Dr. Keith Jones of Brookhaven National Laboratories, Upton, NY, for performing the XRF measurements. The authors also thank Drs. K. Otto and M. S. Chattha of Ford Motor Company for critically reading the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. McVicker, G. B. and Ziemiak, J. J., *J. Catal.* 95, 473 (1985).
- 2. Connell, G., and Dumesic, *J. A., J. Catal.* 101, 103 (1986).
- 3. Connell, G., and Dumesic, J. A.,J. *Catal.* 102, 216 (1986).
- 4. Connell, G., and Dumesic, *J. A., J. Catal.* 105, 285 (1987).
- 5. Jin, T., Hattori, H., and Tanabe, K., *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* 55, 2279 (1982).
- 6. Santacesaria, E., Gelosa, D., and Carra, S., *Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev.* 16, 45 (1977).
- 7. Sacconi, L,, *Discuss. Faraday Soc.* 7, 173 (1949).
- 8. Maatman, R. W., Mahatty, P., Hoekstra, P., and Addink, *C., J. Catal.* 23, 105 (1971).
- 9. Mieth, J. A., Huang, Y. J., and Schwarz, J. A., J. *Colloid Interface Sci.* 1123, 374 (1988).
- *10.* Tauster, S. J., Fung, S. C., and Garten, R. L., J. *Amer. Chem. Soc.* 100, 170 (1978).
- *11.* Tauster, S. J., and Fung, *S. C., J. Catal.* 55, 29 (1978).
- *12.* Baker, R. T. K., Tauster, S. J., and Dumesic, J. A., Eds., "Strong Metal-Support Interactions." ACS Symposium Series 298, Washington, DC, 1986.
- *13.* Nobile, A., Jr., and Davis, *M. W,, J. Catal.* 116, 383 (1989).
- *14.* Vannice, M. A., and Garten, *R. L., J. Catal.* 56, 236 (1979).
- *15.* Vannice, M. A., Twu, C. C., and Moon, S. H., J. *Catal.* 79, 70 (1983).
- *16.* Subramanian, S., Noh, J. S., and Schwarz, J. A., *J. Catal.* 114, 433 (1988).
- *17.* Huang, Y.-J., Xue, J., and Schwarz, J. A., J. *Catal.* 111, 59 (1988).
- *18.* Engstrom, J. R., Goodman, D. W., and Weinberg, *W. H., J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* 110, 8305 (1988).
- *19.* Fung, S. C., private communication, 1989.
- *20.* Lieske, H., Lietz, G., Spindler, H., and V61ter, J,, *J. Catal.* 81, 8 (1983).
- *21.* Huang, Y.-J., Fung, S. C., Gates, W. E., and Mc-Vicker, *G. B., J. Catal.,* 118, 192 (1989).
- 22. Xue, J., "The Study of  $Ir/Al_2O_3$ ,  $Pt/Al_2O_3$  and Pt-Ir/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalysts," M.S. thesis, Syracuse University, New York, 1987.
- *23.* Yao, H. C., Sieg, M., and Plummer, H. K., Jr., J. *Catal.* 59, 365 (1979).
- *24,* Foger, K., and Anderson, *J. R., J. Catal.* 59, 325 (1979).
- *25.* Ko, E. I., and Garten, *R. L., J. Catal. 68,* 233 (1981).
- *26.* Sinfelt, *J. H., J. Phys. Chem. 68,* 344 (1964).
- *27.* Resasco, D. E., and Hailer, G. L., *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 11, 105 (1982).
- *28.* Barbier, J. and Marecot, P., *Nouv. J. Chim.* 5,393 (1981).
- *29.* Den Otter, G. J., and Dautzenberg, *F. M., J. Catal.*  53, 116 (1978).
- *30.* Axler, K. M., and Roof, R. B., *Adv. X-Ray Anal.*  29, 333 (1986).
- *31.* Campbell, C. T., and Goodman, *D. W., J. Phys. Chem.* **92,** 2569 (1988).
- *32.* Klemm, W., Dorn, F., and Huch, R., *Naturwissenschaften* 45, 490 (1958).
- *33.* Bronger, W., and Klemm, W., *Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem.* 58, 319 (1962).
- *34.* De Bruin, H. J., Moodie, A. F., and Warble, C. E., *J. Mater. Sei.* 7, 909 (1972).
- *35.* Sprys, J. W., and Mencik, *Z., J. Catal. 40, 290*  (1975).
- *36.* Subramanian, S., Ph.D dissertation, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY.
- *37.* Grant, R., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 31, 646 (1959).